## Rate-Cost Tradeoffs over Lossy Channels

#### Anatoly Khina, Caltech

## Joint work with Victoria Kostina and Babak Hassibi, Caltech Ashish Khisti, University of Toronto

Asilomar 2017 Pacific Grove, CA, USA October 31, 2017

Motivation Model Track Track Erasures Variable-Length

# Networked Control vs. Traditional Control



- Observer and controller are co-located
- Classical systems are hardwired and well crafted

A 3 >

< 🗇 > < 🖃 >

Motivation Model Track Track Erasures Variable-Length

Traditional vs. networked control

## Networked Control vs. Traditional Control

# Networked control: $w_t \rightarrow Plant$ $w_t \rightarrow c_t$ $x_t \rightarrow c_t$ Controller $\hat{x}_{t|t}$ Channel

- Observer and controller are not co-located: connected through noisy link
- Suitable for new remote applications (e.g., remote surgery, self-driving cars)

∃ >

A (1) > (1) > (1)

# Track of Gauss-Markov Processes over a Noiseless Channel



イロン 不同と 不同と 不同と

Motivation Model Track Track Erasures Variable-Length

Traditional vs. networked control

## Track of Gauss-Markov Processes over a Noiseless Channel



▲ □ ► ▲ □ ►

< ≣ >

# Track of Gauss-Markov Processes over a Noiseless Channel



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

э

# Linear Quadratic Gaussian Control over Noiseless Channels

## Linear quadratic Gaussian (LQG) system

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{t+1} &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{w}_t, & \mathbf{w}_t \sim \text{ i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{W}\right) \\ \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{v}_t, & \mathbf{v}_t \sim \text{ i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{V}\right) \end{aligned}$$



#### Noiseless finite-rate channel of rate r

**Fixed rate:** Exactly *r* bits are available at every time sample *t* **Variable rate:** *r* bits are available **on average** at every *t* 

# Linear Quadratic Gaussian Control over Noiseless Channels

#### Scalar linear quadratic Gaussian (LQG) system

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{t+1} &= \alpha \mathbf{x}_t + u_t + w_t, \quad w_t \sim \text{ i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, W\right), \quad |\alpha| > 1\\ \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{v}_t, \quad \mathbf{v}_t \sim \text{ i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, V\right) \end{aligned}$$



#### Noiseless finite-rate channel of rate r

**Fixed rate:** Exactly *r* bits are available at every time sample *t* **Variable rate:** *r* bits are available **on average** at every *t* 

∃ >

## Linear Quadratic Gaussian Control over Noiseless Channels

#### Scalar linear quadratic Gaussian (LQG) system

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{t+1} &= \alpha \mathbf{x}_t + u_t + w_t, \quad w_t \sim \text{ i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, W\right), \quad |\alpha| > 1 \\ \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{y}_t, \quad \mathbf{y}_t \sim \text{ i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, V\right) \end{aligned}$$



#### Random-rate budget

At time t: Exactly  $r_t$  bits are given.

< 🗇 > < 🖃 >

## Linear Quadratic Gaussian Control over Noiseless Channels

#### Scalar linear quadratic Gaussian (LQG) system

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{t+1} &= \alpha \mathbf{x}_t + u_t + w_t, \quad w_t \sim \text{ i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, W\right), \quad |\alpha| > 1\\ \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{y}_t, \quad \mathbf{y}_t \sim \text{ i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, V\right) \end{aligned}$$



Packet erasures with instantaneous acknowledgments (ACKs)

[Minero et al. AC'09]: Erasure + ACK  $\iff r_t = 0$ 

## Linear Quadratic Gaussian Control over Noiseless Channels

#### Scalar linear quadratic Gaussian (LQG) system

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{t+1} &= \alpha \mathbf{x}_t + u_t + w_t, \quad w_t \sim \text{ i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, W\right), \quad |\alpha| > 1 \\ \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{y}_t, \quad \mathbf{y}_t \sim \text{ i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, V\right) \end{aligned}$$



## Packet erasures with delayed acknowledgments (ACKs)

More tricky... We'll get back to it later...

## Linear Quadratic Gaussian Control over Noiseless Channels

#### Scalar linear quadratic Gaussian (LQG) system

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{t+1} &= \alpha \mathbf{x}_t + u_t + w_t, \quad w_t \sim \text{ i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, W\right), \quad |\alpha| > 1\\ \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{y}_t, \quad \mathbf{y}_t \sim \text{ i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, V\right) \end{aligned}$$



## LQG cost

$$\bar{J}_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{\mathcal{T}-1} \left(\mathsf{Q}_{t} x_{t}^{2} + \mathsf{R}_{t} u_{t}^{2}\right) + \mathsf{Q}_{\mathcal{T}} x_{\mathcal{T}}^{2}\right]$$

Image: A (1)

Asilomar 2017

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

# The Control–Estimation Separation Principle

Control-estimation separation for networked control systems [Fischer AC'82][Tatikonda-Sahai-Mitter AC'04]

• Optimal control action: 
$$u_t = -K_t \hat{x}_t$$

• LQR coefficients: 
$$\begin{cases} K_t = \frac{\alpha L_{t+1}}{R_t + L_{t+1}}, & K_T = 0, \\ L_t = Q_t + \alpha R_t K_t, & L_{T+1} = 0 \end{cases}$$

• MMSE estimate: 
$$\hat{x}_t = \mathbb{E}[x_t | f^t]$$

• Optimal cost: 
$$\bar{J}_T^* = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{I} (WL_t + \alpha K_t L_{t+1} D_t^*)$$

• 
$$D_t = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(x_t - \hat{x}_t\right)^2\right]$$

- Past  $u_t$  known to all  $\Rightarrow$  Same  $\{D_t\}$  for all control actions  $\{u_t\}$
- Control-estimation separation extends to networked control

Motivation Model Track Track Erasures Variable-Length Single-track

# Track of Gauss-Markov Processes over a Noiseless Channel



A. Khina, V. Kostina, B. Hassibi, A. Khisti Rate–Cost Tradeoffs over Lossy Channels Asilomar 2017

A ■

# Track of Gauss-Markov Processes over a Noiseless Channel

• Problem reduces to that of tracking (without control)



∃ >

#### Multi-Track of Gauss-Markov Processes over a Noiseless Channel

#### Multi-track

Several processes are tracked and controlled over a shared channel.



• N — Number of tracked processes.

A 3 >

< 🗇 > < 🖃 >

Motivation Model Track Track Erasures Variable-Length

Single-track Multi-track Converse Direct

# (Multi-)Track: Impossibility

#### Lower bound

- Given rates  $R_1, \ldots, R_T$
- $D_t \ge D_t^*$  where

$$D_t^* = \left(\alpha^2 D_{t-1}^* + W\right) 2^{-2R_t}$$
$$D_0^* = 0$$

#### Inductive proof sketch

- Condition on previously received packets
- $\bullet$  Shannon's lower bound  $\Rightarrow$  Entropy-power calculations
- Entropy-power inequality  $\Rightarrow$  Separates  $w_t$  from  $\alpha(x_t \hat{x}_t)$
- Jensen's inequality + simple IT inequalities

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

э

Motivation Model Track Track Erasures Variable-Length C Sing

Single-track Multi-track Converse Direct

# (Multi-)Track: Impossibility

#### Lower bound

- Random rates  $r_1, \ldots, r_T$
- $D_t \ge D_t^*$  where

$$D_t^* = \left(\alpha^2 D_{t-1}^* + W\right) \mathbb{E}\left[2^{-2r_t}\right]$$
$$D_0^* = 0$$

#### Proof adjustment

- Condition on rates
- Take expectation at the end

・ロン ・回 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

Motivation Model Track Track Erasures Variable-Length (Single

Single-track Multi-track Converse Direct

# (Multi-)Track: Impossibility

#### Lower bound

- Random rates  $r_1, \ldots, r_T$
- $D_t \ge D_t^*$  where

$$D_t^* = \left(\alpha^2 D_{t-1}^* + W\right) \mathbb{E}\left[2^{-2r_t}\right]$$
$$D_0^* = 0$$

#### Track vs. Multi-track

- Holds for any number of tracked processes N
- Applies for single-process tracking

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

## Upper bound

- Any  $\epsilon > 0$  and large enough N
- Given rates  $R_1, \ldots, R_T$
- $D_t \leq D_t^* + \epsilon$  where

$$D_t^* = (\alpha^2 D_{t-1}^* + W) 2^{-2R_t}$$
  
 $D_0^* = 0$ 

・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

## Upper bound

- Any  $\epsilon > 0$  and large enough N
- Random rates  $r_1, \ldots, r_T$
- $D_t \leq D_t^*$  where

$$D_t^* = \left(\alpha^2 D_{t-1}^* + W\right) \mathbb{E}\left[2^{-2r_t}\right]$$
$$D_0^* = 0$$

・ 回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

## Optimal multi-track for large N

- The upper and lower bounds coincide for large N
- Optimal distortions:

$$D_t^* = \left(\alpha^2 D_{t-1}^* + W\right) \mathbb{E}\left[2^{-2r_t}\right]$$
$$D_0^* = 0$$

- Optimal performance achieved by greedy quantization
- No tension between optimizing  $D_{t_1}$  and  $D_{t_2}$

< 🗇 > < 🖃 >

## Optimal multi-track for large N

- The upper and lower bounds coincide for large N
- Optimal distortions:

$$D_t^* = \left(\alpha^2 D_{t-1}^* + W\right) \mathbb{E}\left[2^{-2r_t}\right]$$
$$D_0^* = 0$$

## Steady-state distortion

$$D_{\infty}^{*} \triangleq \lim_{t \to \infty} D_{t}^{*} = \frac{W\mathbb{E}\left[2^{-2r_{1}}\right]}{1 - \alpha^{2}\mathbb{E}\left[2^{-2r_{1}}\right]}$$

• Recovers the data-rate theorem from Massimo's talk:

$$\alpha^2 \mathbb{E}\left[2^{-2r_1}\right] < 1$$

• Or in the deterministic case:  $R > \log \alpha$ 

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン ・ヨン

## DPCM scheme

## Observer at time t

• Generates the prediction error

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_t \triangleq \boldsymbol{x}_t - \alpha \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}$$

• Quantizes the error:

 $\hat{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}_t = Q_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_t)$ 

- Sends the quantization index
- Generates next estimate:  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \alpha \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} + \hat{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}}_t$

## State estimator at time t

- Receives quantization index
- Recovers  $\hat{\tilde{x}}_t$
- Generates an estimate of **x**<sub>t</sub>:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_t = \alpha \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1} + \hat{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}_t$$

ヘロン 人間 とうほう 人間 とう

#### Random rates

Algorithm is greedy  $\Rightarrow$  Does not need to know rates in advance

Asilomar 2017

- ∢ ⊒ →

## Packet Erasures with Instantaneous Feedback

#### One packet per one state frame

• I.i.d. packet erasures: 
$$b_t = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} 1 & {
m w.p.} & eta & (arrived) \\ 0 & {
m w.p.} & 1 - eta & (erased) \end{array} 
ight.$$

• Instantaneous feedback  $\Rightarrow$  Random-rate budget scenario

• I.i.d. rates 
$$r_t = Rb_t = egin{cases} R, & ext{w.p. } eta \ 0, & ext{w.p. } 1-eta \ 0, \end{cases}$$

## Packet Erasures with Instantaneous Feedback

#### Multiple packets per one state frame

- I.i.d.  $\mathscr{B}er(\beta)$  packet erasures
- K packets each of rate R/K
- $b_t \sim \mathscr{B}in(K,\beta)$  Number of successful packet arrivals
- Instantaneous feedback  $\Rightarrow$  Random-rate budget scenario
- I.i.d. rates  $r_t = R b_t / K$

・ 同・ ・ ヨ・

• E •

## Packet Erasures with Instantaneous Feedback

#### Steady-state distortion

$$D_{\infty}^{*} \triangleq \lim_{t \to \infty} D_{t}^{*} = rac{W\mathbb{E}\left[2^{-2r_{1}}
ight]}{1 - lpha^{2}\mathbb{E}\left[2^{-2r_{1}}
ight]}$$

#### Are more packets necessarily better?

- $\bullet\,$  More packets  $\Rightarrow\,$  Higher chance to convey with non-zero rate
- Less packets  $\Rightarrow$  Higher chance to send full rate R
- How to choose the optimal K?

• Choose K that optimizes 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[2^{-2r_t}
ight]$$
 w.r.t.  $b_t\sim \mathscr{B}in(K,eta)$ 

・ 同・ ・ ヨ・

Motivation Model Track Track Erasures Variable-Length Single packet Multi-packet Delayed ACKs

## Packet Erasures with Instantaneous Feedback





A. Khina, V. Kostina, B. Hassibi, A. Khisti Rate-Cost Tradeoffs over Lossy Channels Asilomar 2017

## Packet Erasures with Delayed Feedback

#### Delay by one time unit

#### **Observer at time** *t*:

- Does not know whether the last packet arrived or not  $(b_{t-1})$
- Knows whether all preceding packets arrived or not  $(b^{t-2})$

#### Idea

At time t, treat packet of time t - 1 as

- Side-information that may be known at the state estimator
- Side-information that is known at the observer

(D) (A) (A) (A) (A)

## Packet Erasures with Delayed Feedback



(Fig. from the lectures notes of El Gamal & Kim)



Image: A image: A

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

3

## Packet Erasures with Delayed Feedback



## Rate-distortion region [Kaspi IT'94 ('80)]

- Gaussian case explicitly solved by [Perron et al. ISIT'06]
- Availability of SI @ Tx improves performance!
  - Does not help when SI always available @ Rx (Wyner–Ziv)
  - Does not help in when no SI available @ Rx
- SI@Tx  $\Rightarrow$  (anti-)correlate guantization noise and prediction error

## Packet Erasures with Delayed Feedback

•  $\beta = 0.5, \alpha = 0.7, R = 2, W = 1$ 



A. Khina, V. Kostina, B. Hassibi, A. Khisti

Rate-Cost Tradeoffs over Lossy Channels

Asilomar 2017

æ

## • Back to tracking a *single* process

Fixed-length coding

Exactly R bits are available at time t.

#### Variable-length coding

*R* bits are available *on average* at time *t*.

• Rx decides on bit allocation depending on the source value

< **₩** ► < **⇒** ►

Entropy-Coded Dithered Quantization (ECDQ) [Ziv'85][Zamir-Feder'96]

- Quantize x using an infinite grid of step size  $\Delta$
- Apply entropy-coding to resulting bits

**Improvement 1:** Add uniform random dither *z* modulo  $\Delta$ :

 $[x+z] \mod \Delta$ 

• Makes quantization error uniform and independent of x

Improvement 2: Linear pre- and post-processing

• Essentially applies MMSE estimation

## ECDQ performance [Zamir-Feder IT'96]

- Source power X
- R bits on average

$$R \leq rac{1}{2}\lograc{X}{D} + rac{1}{2}\lograc{2\pi \mathrm{e}}{12} \hspace{2mm} \Leftrightarrow \hspace{2mm} D \leq rac{2\pi \mathrm{e}}{12}X2^{-2K}$$

- Applies for any distribution of x
- For higher dimensions shaping loss decreases
- For  $N 
  ightarrow \infty$ , loss goes to 0
- We assume one-to-one codes (not prefix free)

## DPCM-ECDQ scheme

- Use ECDQ as the quantizer in the DPCM scheme
- Similar scheme proposed in [Silva-Derpich-Østergaard IT'11]
- Achieves the following distortions:

$$D_t = \frac{2\pi e}{12} \left( \alpha^2 D_{t-1} + W \right) \mathbb{E} \left[ 2^{-2r_t} \right]$$

• Steady-state: 
$$D_{\infty}^* = \frac{\frac{2\pi e}{12} W \mathbb{E} \left[2^{-2r_1}\right]}{1 - \frac{2\pi e}{12} \alpha^2 \mathbb{E} \left[2^{-2r_1}\right]}$$

Lower bound

$$D_t = \left(\alpha^2 D_{t-1} + W\right) \mathbb{E}\left[2^{-2r_t}\right]$$

• Steady-state: 
$$D_{\infty}^* = \frac{W\mathbb{E}\left[2^{-2r_1}\right]}{1 - \alpha^2 \mathbb{E}\left[2^{-2r_1}\right]}$$

Asilomar 2017

Motivation Model Track Track Erasures Variable-Length

# Variable-Length Coding for Control

• 
$$A = 1.2, W = 1, Q_t \equiv 1, R_t = 0$$
, no erasures:  $J_t = \alpha^2 D_t + W$ 



Asilomar 2017

## Multi-Track: Rate Allocation

#### Goal

Maximize average (across time) distortion:  $\bar{D}_{\infty} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} D_t$ 

- Total rate budget  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} R_t \leq R$
- How to allocate transmission rates  $R_1, \ldots, R_T$ ?

#### No erasures

• Recall: 
$$D_t = \left( lpha^2 D_{t-1} + W 
ight) 2^{-2R_t}$$

• Convexity arguments  $\stackrel{T \to \infty}{\Longrightarrow}$  Uniform allocation  $R_t \equiv R$  optimal

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

## Multi-Track: Rate Allocation

#### Goal

Maximize average (across time) distortion:  $\bar{D}_{\infty} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} D_t$ 

- Total rate budget  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} R_t \leq R$
- How to allocate transmission rates  $R_1, \ldots, R_T$ ?

#### With i.i.d. erasures

- Reminiscent of channel coding with fading that is known @ Tx
- Water-filling over current distortion level can do better(?)

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)