

## 4. STOCHASTIC ITÔ INTEGRAL (continuation). ITÔ FORMULA

### 4.1. The Itô integral as random process.

The Itô integral  $I(f)$  was defined in the previous lecture. Here, we define a random process  $I_t(f), t \geq 0$  with

$$I_t(f) = I(f^t),$$

where  $f^t(s) = I(t \geq s)f(s)$  and  $f(t)$  is a random process with  $\mathbf{E} \int_0^\infty f^2(s)ds < \infty$  such that for any  $s$  and  $\Delta > 0$  random variables  $f(s'), s' \leq s$  and increment  $W_{s+\Delta} - W_s$  are independent.

Hereafter, we use “standard notation for integral”:

$$I_t(f) = \int_0^t f(s)dW_s.$$

Assume  $\tau$  is a random time. A natural questions: is  $I_{t \wedge \tau}(f) = \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} f(s)dW_s$  well defined? The positive answer follows from the definition of the Itô integral:  $I_{t \wedge \tau}(f) = I(f^{t \wedge \tau})$  with  $f^{t \wedge \tau} = I(t \wedge \tau \geq s)f(s)$  if  $I(\tau \geq s)$  and  $W_{s+\Delta} - W_s$  are independent. The random time  $\tau$  with the above-mentioned property is named *stopping time or Markov time* with respect to Wiener process  $W_t$ .

#### 4.1.1. Properties of $I_t(f)$ .

1.

$$1) \mathbf{E}I_t(f) = 0$$

$$2) \mathbf{E}I_t^2(f) = \int_0^t \mathbf{E}f^2(s)ds$$

$$3) \mathbf{E}I_{t''}(f)I_{t'}(f) = \int_0^{t'' \wedge t'} \mathbf{E}f^2(s)ds.$$

$$4) I_t(c_1f_1 + c_2f_2) = c_1I_t(f_1) + c_2I_t(f_2), \quad c_1, c_2 \text{ are constants.}$$

2.  $\tau$  is a stopping time:

$$1) \mathbf{E}I_{t \wedge \tau}(f) = 0$$

$$2) \mathbf{E}I_{t \wedge \tau}^2(f) = \mathbf{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} f^2(s)ds.$$

3.  $I_t(f), t \geq 0$  is continuous random process at every in the mean square sense and with probability one.

4. For  $T > t$  and any bounded function  $G$

$$\mathbf{E}I_T(f)G(I_t(f)) = \mathbf{E}I_t(f)G(I_t(f))$$

$$\mathbf{E}I_T(f)G(I_\tau(f)) = \mathbf{E}I_{T \wedge \tau}(f)G(I_\tau(f)), \text{ if } \tau \text{ is stopping time.}$$

and stopping time  $\tau$ ,  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s.

$$\mathbf{E}\left(I_T(f)\middle|I_{t'}(f), t' \leq t\right) = I_t(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}\left(I_T(f)\middle|I_{t' \wedge \tau}(f), t' \leq t\right) = I_{t \wedge \tau}(f)$$

**Proofs: 1.** 1) and 2) have been proved in Lecture 3. Property 3) is implied by 2) and an obvious formula  $ab = \frac{1}{4}\{(a+b)^2 - (a-b)^2\}$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}I_{t''}(f)I_{t'}(f) &= \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{E}\left\{(I_{t''}(f) + I_{t'}(f))^2 - (I_{t''}(f) - I_{t'}(f))^2\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}E\left\{(I(f_{t''} + f_{t'}))^2 - (I(f_{t''} - f_{t'}))^2\right\} \\ &= \mathbf{E}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{4}\left\{(f_{t''}(t) + f_{t'}(t))^2 - (f_{t''}(t) - f_{t'}(t))^2\right\}dt \\ &= \mathbf{E}\int_0^\infty I(t'' \geq t)I(t' \geq t)f^2(t)dt \\ &= \mathbf{E}\int_0^{t'' \wedge t'} f^2(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

**2.** The proofs of 1) and 2) are the same as for 1) and 2) in **1.**

**3.** The continuity in the mean square sense at any fixed point  $t$  follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\left(I_{t+h}(f) - I_t(f)\right)^2 &= \mathbf{E}I^2(f_{t+h} - f_t) \\ &= \mathbf{E}\int_0^\infty (f_{t+h}(s) - f_t(s))^2 ds \\ &= \mathbf{E}\int_t^{t+h} f^2(s) ds \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad h \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

The continuity in the mean square sense does not guarantee the continuity of trajectories as functions of the time  $t$ . In contrast, the continuity with probability one means that trajectories of random process are continuous function of  $t$ . If  $f$  is piece wise constant function, then the paths of  $I_t(f)$  are continuous by virtue of the paths of Wiener process are continuous. In a general case the proof of the continuity with probability requires more fundamental facts and is omitted here.

**4.** We give here the proof only for piece wise constant process  $f(s)$ . Since

$$I_t(f) = \sum_{j:s_j \leq t} f(s_j)[W_{s_{j+1}} - W_{s_j}],$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}\left(I_T(f) - I_t(f)\right)G(I_t(f)) \\ &= \sum_{j:t \leq s_j \leq T} \mathbf{E}\left(f(s_j)[W_{s_{j+1}} - W_{s_j}]\right)G(I_t(f)) \end{aligned}$$

whereas  $[W_{s_{j+1}} - W_{s_j}]$  and  $f(s_j), I_t(f)$  are independent.

#### 4.2. The Doob inequality.

The Doob inequalities assert: for any  $T > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(\sup_{t \leq T} |I_t(f)| \geq x) &\leq \frac{1}{x^2} \mathbf{E} \int_0^T f^2(t) dt, \quad \forall x > 0 \\ \mathbf{E} \sup_{t \leq T} I_t^2(f) &\leq 4 \mathbf{E} \int_0^T f^2(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** Introduce random time

$$\tau_x = \inf\{t : |I_t(f)| \geq x\} \wedge T$$

and notice that  $I(\tau_x \leq s), s \leq t$  and  $W_{t+\Delta} - W_t, \Delta > 0$  are independent. Obviously,

$$\{\sup_{t \leq T} |I_t(f)| \geq x\} = \{|I_{\tau_x}(f)| \geq x\}$$

and so

$$\mathbf{P}(\sup_{t \leq T} |I_t(f)| \geq x) = \mathbf{P}(|I_{\tau_x}(f)| \geq x) + \mathbf{E} \int_0^{\tau_x} f^2(s) ds \leq \mathbf{E} \int_0^T f^2(s) ds.$$

To prove the second Doob inequality, we use the following technical result: for a random variable  $\xi$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\xi^2 &= \mathbf{E} \int_0^{\xi^2} dx \\ &= \mathbf{E} \int_0^\infty \mathbf{I}(\xi^2 \geq x) dx \\ &= \mathbf{E} \int_0^\infty \mathbf{I}(|\xi| \geq \sqrt{x}) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}(|\xi| \geq \sqrt{x}) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

As previously, with  $\tau_{\sqrt{x}} = \inf\{t : |I_t(f)| \geq \sqrt{x}\} \wedge T$ , we use the equality

$$\mathbf{P}(\sup_{t \leq T} |I_t(f)| \geq \sqrt{x}) = \mathbf{P}(|I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f)| \geq \sqrt{x}).$$

By (4.2), we get

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \leq T} I_t^2(f) = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}(|I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f)| \geq \sqrt{x}) dx. \quad (4.3)$$

It is clear that

$$\frac{|I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f)|}{\sqrt{x}} \geq 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \{|I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f)| \geq \sqrt{x}\}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(|I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f)| \geq \sqrt{x}) &= \mathbf{E}I(|I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f)| \geq \sqrt{x}) \\ &\leq \mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{|I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f)|}{\sqrt{x}}I(|I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f)| \geq \sqrt{x})\right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

So, (4.3) is transformed to the inequality

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \leq T} I_t^2(f) \leq \int_0^\infty \mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{|I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f)|}{\sqrt{x}}I(|I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f)| \geq \sqrt{x})\right\} dx.$$

The property 4. is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{E}(I_T(f)|I_t(f)) = I_t(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}(I_T(f)|I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f)) = I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f).$$

Hence,  $|I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f)| = |\mathbf{E}(I_T(f)|I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f))|$  and by the Jensen inequality for the conditional expectation

$$|I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f)| = \mathbf{E}\left(|I_T(f)||I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f)\right).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \sup_{t \leq T} I_t^2(f) &\leq \int_0^\infty \mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{|I_T(f)|}{\sqrt{x}}I(|I_{\tau_{\sqrt{x}}}(f)| \geq \sqrt{x})\right\} dx \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{|I_T(f)|}{\sqrt{x}}I(\sup_{t \leq T} |I_t(f)| \geq \sqrt{x})\right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

So, with  $\xi = \sup_{t \leq T} |I_t(f)|$ , we have

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \leq T} I_t^2(f) \leq \mathbf{E}I_T(f) \int_0^{\xi^2} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}} = 2\mathbf{E}I_T(f) \sup_{t \leq T} I_t(f)$$

and by the Chebyshev inequality

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \leq T} I_t^2(f) \leq 2\sqrt{\mathbf{E}I_T^2(f)\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \leq T} I_t^2(f)}.$$

Consequently,

$$\sqrt{\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \leq T} I_t^2(f)} \leq 2\sqrt{\mathbf{E}I_T^2(f)\mathbf{E}}$$

and the result is done. □

### 4.3. The Itô formula.

**Example 1.** Let  $f(t) = W_t$ . Since for every  $t > 0$ ,  $\int_0^t \mathbf{E}W_s^2 ds = \int_0^t s ds = \frac{t^2}{2}$  the Itô integral  $I_t(W) = \int_0^t W_s dW_s$  is well defined.

If  $X_t$  is continuously differentiable function with  $X_0 = 0$ , then

$$\int_0^t X_s dX_s = \frac{1}{2}X_t^2. \quad (4.5)$$

The question is: remains this formula true for  $I_t(W)$ :

$$\int_0^t W_s dW_s = \frac{1}{2}W_t^2?$$

The answer is negative by virtue of the following contradiction

$$0 = \mathbf{E} \int_0^t W_s dW_s = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}W_t^2 = \frac{t}{2} > 0.$$

Now, we explain why (4.5) takes place for continuously differentiable function  $X_t$  and fails for  $W_t$ . Set  $H(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}$ . Since  $X_t$  is assumed to be continuously differentiable function we get  $\frac{d}{dt}H(X_t) = H'(X_t)\dot{X}_t = X_t\dot{X}_t$  and so

$$\frac{1}{2}X_t^2 = H(X_t) = \int_0^t X_s dX_s,$$

i.e. (4.5) is really correct for  $X_t$ .

For  $H(W_t)$  and  $0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \dots < t_n^n = t$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , write

$$\begin{aligned} H(W_t) &= \sum_{j=1}^n [H(W_{t_{j+1}^n}) - H(W_{t_j^n})] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (W_{t_{j+1}^n}^2 - W_{t_j^n}^2) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n W_{t_j^n} (W_{t_{j+1}^n} - W_{t_j^n}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (W_{t_{j+1}^n} - W_{t_j^n})^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Under the assumption  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_j [t_{j+1}^n - t_j^n] = 0$

$$\text{l.i.m.}_n \sum_{j=1}^n [H(W_{t_{j+1}^n}) - H(W_{t_j^n})] = \int_0^t W_s dW_s + \frac{t}{2}$$

since (see Lecture 3)

$$\text{l.i.m.}_n \sum_{j=1}^n (W_{t_{j+1}^n} - W_{t_j^n})^2 = t.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{2}W_t^2 = \int_0^t W_s dW_s + \frac{t}{2} \quad (4.7)$$

or, what is equivalent (recall that  $H(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$  and so  $H'(x) = x$  and  $H''(x) \equiv 1$ )

$$H(W_t) = \int_0^t H'(W_s) dW_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t H''(W_s) ds. \quad (4.8)$$

The formula given in (4.8) is famous Itô formula.

A phenomena of the Itô formula arises from the property of trajectories of Wiener process which are continuous but not differentiable functions.

If  $G(x)$  is any twice continuously differentiable function (not obligatory a quadratic form of  $x$ ), the Itô formula for  $G(W_t)$  is:

$$G(W_t) = G(0) + \int_0^t G'(W_s) dW_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t G''(W_s) ds. \quad (4.9)$$

An heuristic proof of (4.9) is similar to (4.8). In fact, by the mean value theorem ( $0 \leq \theta_j^n \leq 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} G(W_t) &= \sum_{j=1}^n G'(W_{t_j^n}) [W_{t_{j+1}^n} - W_{t_j^n}] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} G''(W_{t_j^n}) [W_{t_{j+1}^n} - W_{t_j^n}]^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \left\{ G''(W_{t_j^n} + \theta_j^n \{W_{t_{j+1}^n} - W_{t_j^n}\}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - G''(W_{t_j^n}) \right\} [W_{t_{j+1}^n} - W_{t_j^n}]^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

The first sum in the right side converges in the mean square sense to the Itô integral  $\int_0^t G'(W_s) dW_s$ , the second to  $\int_0^t \frac{1}{2} G''(W_s) ds$  while the third to zero.

Thus, (4.9) holds.

**4.4. More about Itô formula.** Assume

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \alpha(s) ds + \int_0^t \beta(s) dW_s,$$

where  $X_0$  and  $(W_t)$  are independent,  $\beta(s)$  and  $W_{t+\delta} - W_t$  are independent for  $t \geq s$  and  $\delta > 0$ , and  $E \int_0^t \beta^2(s) ds < \infty, t > 0$ . Then for smooth  $G(t, x)$  we have

$$G(t, X_t) = G(0, X_0) + \int_0^t [G_t(s, X_s) + G_x(s, X_s)\alpha(s) + \frac{1}{2}G_{xx}(s, X_s)] ds + \int_0^t G_x(s, X_s)\beta(s)dW_s. \quad (\text{bonus})$$

**Home work: the Itô formula**

1. Derive the Itô formula for  $Z_t = \exp\left(W_t - \frac{t}{2}\right)$ .
2. Derive the Itô formula for  $Z_t = \exp\left(I_t(f) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f^2(s) ds\right)$ .
3. Derive **bonus** to get better grade.